

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,502		04/22/2004	Takateru Imai	82644	2644 6966	
22242	759	0 05/10/2006		EXAMINER		
		TABIN AND FLAI	KORNAKOV, MICHAIL			
SUITE 16		SALLE STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAG	O, IL	60603-3406		1746	,	
				DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					h
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/829,50	2	IMAI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Michael Ko		1746	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addr	ess
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF TH FR 1.136(a). In no eve on. period will apply and will statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNIC nt, however, may a re I expire SIX (6) MONT cation to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this common (NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncondition.	This action is no owance except t	on-final. for formal matte		nerits is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠ Applicat i	Claim(s) 12 and 14-17 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 12, 14-17 are subject to restriction on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examer The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to	n and/or election miner. accepted or b)[n requirement. ☐ objected to b		
44\	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	· ·	= -		
, —	The oath or declaration is objected to by thunder 35 U.S.C. § 119	ne Examiner. No	te the attached	Oπice Action or form P1O	-152.
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have beer ments have beer priority docume ureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Ap nts have been re e 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National St	age
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-1 	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/829,502 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 12,14,15 drawn to a method for cleaning thermoplastic resinous products, classified in class 134, subclass 6.
- Claims 16,17 drawn to a device for cleaning resinous products, classified in class 134, subclass 133.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used for homogenizing or mixing.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,502 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Applicants' attention is drawn to 37 CFR 1.142(a), which provides that restriction is proper at any stage of prosecution up to final action, a requirement may be made

Application/Control Number: 10/829,502 Page 4

Art Unit: 1746

when it becomes proper, even though there was a prior requirement with which applicant complied. *Ex parte Benke*, 1904 C.D. 63, 108 O.G. 1588 (Comm'r Pat. 1904).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kornakov whose telephone number is (571) 272-1303. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Kop NACON Michael Kornakov Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1746

05/09/2006